

## LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK PANEL

24 SEPTEMBER 2007

Chairman: \* Councillor Joyce Nickolay (Vice-Chairman in the Chair)

Councillors: \* Robert Benson \* Joyce Nickolay  
\* Keith Ferry \* Raj Ray (3)  
\* Manji Kara \* Navin Shah

\* Denotes Member present

(1) and (3) Denote category of Reserve Members

[Note: Councillor Bill Stephenson also attended this meeting to speak on the item indicated at Recommendation 1 below].

**PART I - RECOMMENDATIONS****RECOMMENDATION 2 – Local Development Scheme (LDS) Progress Report**

The Panel received a report of the Director of Planning, Development and Enterprise which provided an update on the progress of the Local Development Scheme (LDS) and plans for its future development to take account of emerging issues. A colour version of Appendix 1 'Programme Timetable' was tabled.

In response to concerns expressed by a Member in relation to the significant slippages that appeared to have occurred in a number of areas, officers highlighted the reasons for the changes to the timetable, as detailed in the report, and the safeguards that were being put in place to improve the quality of the LDS.

The Chairman reported that, following advice from legal, the recommendations on page 13 of the agenda should be amended to the Leader rather than Cabinet and, having been put to a vote, it was

**Resolved to RECOMMEND:** (to the Leader)

That (1) the revised Local Development Scheme (LDS) and timetables for document preparation, as set out at Appendices 1 and 2 to the report of the Director of Planning, Development and Enterprise, it be approved;

(2) the revised LDS be submitted to the Secretary of State;

(3) subject to the Secretary of State not directing any changes to the revised LDS, that the revision be brought into effect;

(4) the key role of the Local Development Framework in taking forward flagship actions and the Sustainable Community Plan be noted;

(5) the revised LDS and timetable be forwarded to the Greater London Authority for their information.

[Reason for Recommendation: To comply with government recommendations and best practice. To meet the Council's statutory duty to keep the LDF up to date].

[Notes: (1) During the discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that the officer recommendations on page 13 of the agenda, amended as detailed above, be agreed. Having been put to a vote, this was carried;

(2) Councillors Robert Benson, Manji Kara, Narinder Mudhar and Joyce Nickolay wished to be recorded as having voted for the motion;

(3) Councillors Keith Ferry, Raj Ray and Navin Shah wished to be recorded as having abstained from voting].